TEACHER REPORT

Name of Teacher	OLEKSANDR SVITYCH
Module	Introduction to International Relations(PS2237-INTRODUCTION TO INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS (TUTORIAL))
Academic Year/Sem	2016/2017 - SEM 2
Department	POLITICAL SCIENCE
Faculty	ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES

Raters	Student
Responded	18
Invited	31
Response Ratio	58%

Note:
Class Size = Invited; Response Size = Responded; Response Rate = Response Ratio

A. GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETING THE REPORT

The teacher evaluation report is for developmental purposes and is meant to help identify strengths and areas for improvement. Please consider the following recommendations that will aid in interpreting the results:

1. Examine the report by taking note of patterns in order to consider how best to act on the feedback your students have taken the time to provide. Use the reflection section at the end to reflect upon how you might act on the feedback.

2. These evaluations stem from student perception and thus constitute one source of evidence among others as to the quality of your teaching. Any response to the feedback should be based on the most representative results rather than on outlying responses.

3. Upon getting a general sense as to what has gone well, and which areas may require attention and improvement, it is important to drill down to the related questions. These questions can help guide future action if feedback from students suggest areas for improvement.

4. Keep both the likert scale and written comments in mind while reading through the report. High scores (4+) suggest student consensus indicating a strength. On the other hand, low scores (2-) should be considered as an area that requires immediate developmental focus based on student feedback.

B. NOMINATION FOR TEACHING AWARDS

	Response Count
I would like to nominate OLEKSANDR SVITYCH for teaching awards	7

| Comment |
|---|
| -gr9 |
| -Good at teachings |
| -Very approachable, patient and engaging |
| -He is an engaging tutor who goes the extra mile in preparing tutorial summaries for students. |
| -Sasha is a very good tutor and helps to reinforce theoretical concepts learnt in lectures. He also brings his own ideas during tutorials and provides useful insights. I also appreciate him taking the time to send us tutorial summaries after each session and also for the very quick feedback given. He creates a conducive climate for group discussion as well. |
| -He's approachable and his classes are engaging. |
| -His innovative and creative methods of engaging the class, that makes learning International Relations more interesting! |

C. SUMMARY OF TEACHING SCORES

(i) Teaching Rating Score Analysis

| Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (POLITICAL SCIENCE)		Faculty Average (ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, the teacher is effective.	4.6	0.5	4.2	0.8	4.2	0.8

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (POLITICAL SCIENCE-TUTORIAL (Level 2000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES-TUTORIAL (Level 2000))	Dept Average by Activity (POLITICAL SCIENCE-TUTORIAL)	Fac Average by Activity (ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES-TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Overall, the teacher is effective.	4.6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2

Overall, the teacher is effective


Copy of [Single Selection]

Overall, the teacher is effective

| Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (POLITICAL SCIENCE)		Faculty Average (ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.3	0.5	4.2	0.8	4.2	0.8
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.4	0.5	4.2	0.8	4.1	0.8
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.4	0.7	4.1	0.9	4.1	0.9
Average of Q1-Q3	4.4	0.6	4.2	-	4.1	-

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (POLITICAL SCIENCE-TUTORIAL (Level 2000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES-TUTORIAL (Level 2000))	Dept Average by Activity (POLITICAL SCIENCE-TUTORIAL)	Fac Average by Activity (ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES-TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.0
Average of Q1-Q3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1

(ii) Teacher Rating Analysis Based on Scale Distribution

(iii) Teacher Rating Frequency Analysis

### 1. The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.

Statistics	Value
Response Count	18
Mean	4.3
80th Percentile	5.0
Standard Deviation	0.5
Positive Feedback	100%

2. The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.

Statistics	Value
Response Count	18
Mean	4.4
80th Percentile	5.0
Standard Deviation	0.5
Positive Feedback	100%

3. The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.

Statistics	Value
Response Count	18
Mean	4.4
80th Percentile	5.0
Standard Deviation	0.7
Positive Feedback	89%

(iv) Teacher Rating Scores vs. Gender

Question	M	F	Overall
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.3	4.4	4.3
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.3	4.6	4.4
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.4	4.4	4.4

D. STRENGTHS

What are OLEKSANDR SVITYCH's strengths?

Comments
interesting tutorial formats and ways of presenting content and ideas; helpful tutorial notes
He's organized.
Sasha is an engaging tutor and he goes the extra mile by providing tutorial summaries. He is also helpful when it comes to consultations and queries.
Sasha is very friendly and approachable. He's also very knowledgeable and tries to make tutorials interesting through group answering and quizzes. I thoroughly enjoyed tutorials. Good job!
Very nice teacher, tries very hard to make lessons interesting (through a range of activities)
Sasha is a very good tutor and helps to reinforce theoretical concepts learnt in lectures. He also brings his own ideas during tutorials and provides useful insights. I also appreciate him taking the time to send us tutorial summaries after each session and also for the very quick feedback given. He creates a conducive climate for group discussion as well.
Sasha is great! I can really see his efforts in trying to structure tutorials in an interesting manner (particularly an 8am one) to engage us (even the quiet ones). I like his lesson structure a lot more as opposed to individually trying to voice opinions in class. I like that he sends summaries so we are not preoccupied with typing things down but can devote our attention to class discussions.
He always revises our concepts through quizzes and that helps
He encourages students to participate in class activities through coming up with interesting and interactive means of engagement, such as group quiz activities and simulated United Nations sessions, which is very good!
Motivated teacher

E. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

What improvements would you suggest to OLEKSANDR SVITYCH?

Comments
–
The last tutorial about climate change and the model UN simulation didn't work for me.
NA
Sasha could maybe show some videos to make tutorials a little more exciting but otherwise, I am very satisfied with his teaching.
None!!
none
NA

F. SELF-REFLECTION

1. When comparing these results to the previous year's results, what areas have shown improvement?

2. What areas remain to be improved and what are the necessary steps / actions to do so?

3. Are there colleagues who could potentially guide me?

4. Are there issues that require departmental or institutional support?